The Chicago Tribune.

VOLUME 25. CHICAGO, WEDNESDAY, JUNE 19, 1872---SIX PAGES. NUMBER 310.

POLITICAL.

The Maine Democratic Convention Indorses the Cincinnati Nominees.

Another Word in Explanation of the Free-Traders' Conference.

Illinois Democratic County Conventions Instruct for Greeley.

A Little Story About the Long Branch House that Grant Built.

Speech of Vice President Colfax at South Bend.

THE LONG BRANCH HOUSE THAT GRANT BUILT.

Special Despatch to The Chicago Tribune.

NEW YORK, June 18.—A Long Branch correspondent, who has been examining the tenure under which President Grant holds his real estate at that watering place, has stirred up some peculiar facts. The correspondent's story of how Grant acquired his first cottage at Long Branch runs thus: It has been said that certain owners of real estate at the West End, among whom were Thomas Murphy, John Hoey, and John Chamberlin, made up a ring for the advancement of the price of real estate in that part of the village, and in the belief that it would tend to raise the price of the surrounding property, they made up a purse, and partly or wholly paid for General Grant's and General Porter's estates to induce them to locate there. Of course they wouldn't be fools enough to leave any such transaction susceptible of proof. Some of the politicians want so far as to allege that there was some connection between the President's acquisition of his first cottage and the appointment of Thomas Murphy as Collector. On the other hand, the President's friends claim that he bought and paid for the property in the usual way; but the county records at Freehold show a state of things altogether out of the usual course of legitimate traffic in real estate. The record show that Howard Potter deeded the first cottage to the President on the 4th day of January, 1870, for $23,000. The deed was recorded Feb. 1, 1870. This deed was sent from Washington to a distinguished lawyer of Freehold by General Porter, with a note stating that he sent by direction of the President, and requesting the lawyer to have it recorded. The lawyer took the note and deed to the County Clerk, and the deed was recorded. Under that deed the President held the property until March, 1872, when it was accidentally discovered by Mr. Holmes W. Murphy, who was, formerly County Clerk, and is now an assistant in the office, that Howard Potter, at the time the President paid him $23,000 for this property, did not own it having sold it in December, 1869, to Mr. James Brown, of New York; in other words, Mr. Potter had sold an estate to the President for $23,000 which he did not own, but sold it to Mr. Brown twelve years ago, and the President paid $23,000 for an estate the title of which he did not get; and the records show that on the 9th of May, 1872, for the purpose of giving the President a title to an estate for which he had paid $23,000 two years before, Mr. Brown deeded the same property to the President for $1 consideration. "Well, Mr. Arrowsmith," I said to the County Clerk, "there was no investigation of the title to this property whatever." "None." "And is that the way men deal in real estate?" Hardly. When we put out $23,000 for land we are apt to look up the title. Directly opposite Grant's first house at Long Branch stands his second house, quite an attractive and imposing structure. It is forty-feet square, three stories high basement, and Mansard roof, finished inside and outside with taste and skill, and was built during last fall and spring, by one of the New Jersey architects. The contract price of the house was $20,000. The land was purchased of Theodore Thomas, and cost $10,000, so that the President has wasted rather more than one year's salary in his new house, and, considerably more than two years salary in his Long Branch cottage and Branch, or, at least, so the deeds say.

POLITICAL AFFAIRS IN NEW YORK CITY.

Special Despatch to The Chicago Tribune.

NEW YORK, June 18.—The Executive Committee of the National Republican General Committee have been arriving in this city singly for several days past. They were generally domiciled at the Fifth Avenue Hotel, and as it was supposed that the body was about to assemble for the consideration of questions connected with the campaign, they were looked after by a reporter, this afternoon, who, after tracing them from the Fifth avenue Hotel to the Republican Headquarters, at last found them closeted in the innermost recess of the private office of E. D. Morgan & Co., Exchange place. There were eight members present, among whom were the Chairman, E. D. Morgan; A. G. Cattell, of New Jersey; Senator Chandler, of Michigan; General Ames, of Mississippi, and Wm. H. Kemble, of Pennsylvania. The reporter inquired of Governor Morgan whether the incidents of the meeting were of sufficient interest to publish, and was informed that they were not, the meeting being "private and informal, you know."

Oswald Ottendorfer, of the leading German republican paper in New York, and one of the signers of the new Schurz call, says the main object of the Fifth avenue conference is for mutual consultation among those politicians who are opposed to Grant, and dissatisfied with the result of the Cincinnati Convention. If the question narrows down to Greeley and Grant, the former will be supported by Ottendorfer. He asserts, however, that the Germans are opposed to Greeley, although many of them, including Schurz, will support him as a last resort to defeat Grant.

The Young Men's Democratic Reform Club, so called, has resolved against Greeley. The organization is but well known, and is self-constituted.

The Tammany Society, which met last night in full numbers, and which is representative, did not seem to have a man present who would not go for Greeley, if nominated at Baltimore.

The *World* urges the imperative necessity of a straight Democratic nomination at Baltimore, saying: "It cannot be denied that Mr. Greeley's unexpected nomination at Cincinnati has had a mischievous influence in distracting and demoralizing the Democratic party. A blind, but fierce enthusiasm for the party took with favor on Mr. Greeley, but they are stumbling into the greatest mistake ever made by sane men. It is impossible to conceive that Mr. Greeley's nomination may be indorsed at Baltimore, but if the Baltimore Convention should perpetrate so flagrant a blunder, there is hardly a chance that Mr. Greeley would be elected. Greeley has but the barest handful of adherents who would follow him out of the Republican organization. We have no reason to doubt that the leaders and prominent men of the Democracy are already committed to Greeley that there is no possibility of defeating him at Baltimore except by a straight Democratic ticket. As for Mr. Adams, it is certain that he would not accept a Democratic nomination under present circumstances. The two-thirds rule will, of course, prevail at Baltimore, and if one-third of the members of the Convention took a resolute stand against Greeley he cannot be nominated, but it would be preposterous for them to put any other outside candidate against Greeley. They can defeat him only by reviving and invigorating a genuine Democratic feeling, and presenting a ticket consisting of Democratic statesmen who have a strong hold on the confidence of the party. We have had quite enough of coquetting with outside factions. Let us now fall back upon the irrepressible, indomitable Democratic feeling. We shall give no support to the Fifth Avenue Conference if it recommend anything different from a straight, clean Democratic ticket."

ESTIMATE OF GREELEY'S STRENGTH BEFORE THE BALTIMORE CONVENTION BY A GRANT OFFICE-HOLDER.

Special Despatch to The Chicago Tribune.

WASHINGTON, June 18.—One of the strongest of the Grant office-holders has made an estimate of the strength of Mr. Greeley before the Baltimore Convention. Notice is taken of the fact here because the officer is one of the shrewdest observers of political events, and whose judgment as to the future is received with respect everywhere. He says that he has little doubt that Greeley will have 517 delegates out of the 724 of the delegates already chosen by the several States 317 are favorable to Greeley and only thirteen against him, these being from Oregon, Delaware, and one from West Virginia. He also says that there is a powerful effort making on the part of some of the old hunkers of the Democracy to defeat Greeley before the Convention by a *coup d'etat*, and that letters and circulars in great numbers have been confidentially circulated for the purpose. The scheme, as developed, is to secure the States of Arkansas, Georgia, Ohio, Illinois, Texas, and New Jersey, which, it is held, would do much toward controlling the Convention. The officer, however, unhesitatingly admits that Greeley will be nominated, and that the contest in November will be a very close one.

A letter received at the Greeley and Brown headquarters, to-day, from one of the leading Democrats of Indiana, dated Terre Haute, June 14, says that Judge Dowling was selected as Delegate-at-Large for the State, beating Dan Voorhees by a vote of 134 to 37. The latter also says that the latter gentleman failed to receive recognition, and that his vaulting ambition o'erleaped itself, and, indeed, that he has got no followers. Thirty delegates have been chosen from that State, and they are a unit for Greeley.

Preparations are making for a monster mass-meeting, in favor of Greeley and Brown, at Warrenton, Va., on Wednesday, the 26th inst. A special train will be run from here and Alexandria, and it is expected the meeting will be one of the largest ever held in the State. Warrenton, being the home of General Mosby, the latter will be invited to speak at the meeting in behalf of Grant, and pay all the good he can devise of Caesar. The citizens of that town are anxious to hear Mosby explain the reason for his course, and the statements to be made, in a recent interview with the President. Among the speakers will be ex-Governor Wm. Smith, M. F. Conway, Lewis McKinney, and, probably, Governor Fenton.

REPUBLICAN NOMINATION IN THE NINTH INDIANA CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT.

Special Despatch to The Chicago Tribune.

MUNCIE, Ind., June 18.—The Congressional Convention of the Ninth District held here to-day, nominated General J. P. C. Shanks as candidate for re-election to Congress. His competitor was Colonel Robert Robertson, of Fort Wayne, who received 38 votes to General Shanks' 104, and then moved to make the nomination unanimous, pledging his hearty support to the nominee and Republican ticket every where.

The Convention was harmonious throughout, and the Republicans are jubilant.

Hon. J. J. Cheney, of Randolph County, was nominated as candidate for Judge of the Court of Common Pleas for the counties of Blackford, Jay, Randolph, and Delaware; and Wheis, of Jay County, District Prosecutor.

After the nominations, speeches were made by General Shanks and Colonel Robertson, after which the Convention adjourned until after dinner.

Reassembling, resolutions were read and a short speech made by Senator Morton, who was in the city. The Senator referred to the great and distinguished services to the country of the Republican party, and compared them to the meagre array of personalities and factious opposition to the party now which are the foundation of the party opposing. He also held Mr. Greeley up to the light, declaring that if he should be selected by the Democrats and Liberals he would be a Democratic President, and that the Liberals would find themselves left out in the cold. He characterized the Cincinnati Convention as shameless and corrupt, and of having made bargains that men in County Conventions would not have dared to make. The sententious tones of the speaker awoke the old-time enthusiasm, and commanded the closest attention.

ILLINOIS COUNTY CONVENTIONS.

Special Despatch to The Chicago Tribune.

PEORIA, Ill., June 18.—The County Democratic Convention was held in the Court House to-day and created considerable interest. A resolution, indorsing in unqualified terms, the Cincinnati Liberal ticket and platform, was adopted by a vote of 95 to 24. Hon. William O'Brien, made a speech an hour long, taking decided Liberal grounds, and urging the Baltimore Convention to nominate Greeley and Brown, as the only hope for the downfallen Democracy. He said that he, as ever, the Democratic party recognized the old maxim of "principles, not men," and that with Greeley and Brown, and a distasteful record, as their head, victory would surely perch on their banner. A minority report was made, protesting against the action of the Convention.

SPRINGFIELD, Ill., June 18.—Representatives Hall was fairly filled by delegates and audience to-day, on the meeting of the Sangamon County Democratic Convention. During the absence of the usual Committees, the Hon. James C. Robinson and Hon. Wm. B. Springer addressed the Convention. The following were the delegates to the Democratic State Convention: Jno. A. McClernand, N. R. Taylor, Nich H. Ridgely, A. Lincoln, Wm. Jayne, Paul Selby, A. S. Baya, James Robinson, Matthew Patton, Wm. W. Springer, Edward L. Merritt, Carter Tracy, Gen. John McArthur, Wm. Reynolds, W. H. Wilmott, G. W. Shutt, V. T. Lyndsay.

Resolutions instructing for Greeley and Brown, also instructing for Judge Lawrence, for Clerk of the Supreme Court of the Central Grand Division, were adopted without discussion. The meeting was very harmonious, every township being represented, and great enthusiasm was manifested.

All the railroads centering at Springfield, excepting the Toledo, Wabash, & Western, will issue excursion tickets to and from the State Convention at a fare and a half the round trip.

MAINE DEMOCRATIC STATE CONVENTION—GRANT RATIFICATION MEETING—CONGRESSIONAL NOMINATION.

BANGOR, Me., June 18.—The Democratic State Convention assembled this morning and was called to order by General J. H. Butler, of Camden, Chairman of the State Committee. Hon. J. Madigan was chosen President. He acknowledged the compliment in a speech warmly advocating the nomination of Horace Greeley at Baltimore. A vote of thanks was passed, by one of the New Jersey architects. The contract price of the house was $20,000. The land was purchased of Theodore Thomas, and cost $10,000, so that the President has wasted rather more than one year's salary in his new house, and, considerably more than two years salary in his Long Branch cottage and Branch, or, at least, so the deeds say.

POLITICAL AFFAIRS IN NEW YORK CITY.

Special Despatch to The Chicago Tribune.

NEW YORK, June 18.—The Executive Committee of the National Republican General Committee have been arriving in this city singly for several days past. They were generally domiciled at the Fifth Avenue Hotel, and as it was supposed that the body was about to assemble for the consideration of questions connected with the campaign, they were looked after by a reporter, this afternoon, who, after tracing them from the Fifth avenue Hotel to the Republican Headquarters, at last found them closeted in the innermost recess of the private office of E. D. Morgan & Co., Exchange place. There were eight members present, among whom were the Chairman, E. D. Morgan; A. G. Cattell, of New Jersey; Senator Chandler, of Michigan; General Ames, of Mississippi, and Wm. H. Kemble, of Pennsylvania. The reporter inquired of Governor Morgan whether the incidents of the meeting were of sufficient interest to publish, and was informed that they were not, the meeting being "private and informal, you know."

Oswald Ottendorfer, of the leading German republican paper in New York, and one of the signers of the new Schurz call, says the main object of the Fifth avenue conference is for mutual consultation among those politicians who are opposed to Grant, and dissatisfied with the result of the Cincinnati Convention. If the question narrows down to Greeley and Grant, the former will be supported by Ottendorfer. He asserts, however, that the Germans are opposed to Greeley, although many of them, including Schurz, will support him as a last resort to defeat Grant.

The Young Men's Democratic Reform Club, so called, has resolved against Greeley. The organization is but well known, and is self-constituted.

The Tammany Society, which met last night in full numbers, and which is representative, did not seem to have a man present who would not go for Greeley, if nominated at Baltimore.

The *World* urges the imperative necessity of a straight Democratic nomination at Baltimore, saying: "It cannot be denied that Mr. Greeley's unexpected nomination at Cincinnati has had a mischievous influence in distracting and demoralizing the Democratic party. A blind, but fierce enthusiasm for the party took with favor on Mr. Greeley, but they are stumbling into the greatest mistake ever made by sane men. It is impossible to conceive that Mr. Greeley's nomination may be indorsed at Baltimore, but if the Baltimore Convention should perpetrate so flagrant a blunder, there is hardly a chance that Mr. Greeley would be elected. Greeley has but the barest handful of adherents who would follow him out of the Republican organization. We have no reason to doubt that the leaders and prominent men of the Democracy are already committed to Greeley that there is no possibility of defeating him at Baltimore except by a straight Democratic ticket. As for Mr. Adams, it is certain that he would not accept a Democratic nomination under present circumstances. The two-thirds rule will, of course, prevail at Baltimore, and if one-third of the members of the Convention took a resolute stand against Greeley he cannot be nominated, but it would be preposterous for them to put any other outside candidate against Greeley. They can defeat him only by reviving and invigorating a genuine Democratic feeling, and presenting a ticket consisting of Democratic statesmen who have a strong hold on the confidence of the party. We have had quite enough of coquetting with outside factions. Let us now fall back upon the irrepressible, indomitable Democratic feeling. We shall give no support to the Fifth Avenue Conference if it recommend anything different from a straight, clean Democratic ticket."

ESTIMATE OF GREELEY'S STRENGTH BEFORE THE BALTIMORE CONVENTION BY A GRANT OFFICE-HOLDER.

Special Despatch to The Chicago Tribune.

WASHINGTON, June 18.—One of the strongest of the Grant office-holders has made an estimate of the strength of Mr. Greeley before the Baltimore Convention. Notice is taken of the fact here because the officer is one of the shrewdest observers of political events, and whose judgment as to the future is received with respect everywhere. He says that he has little doubt that Greeley will have 517 delegates out of the 724 of the delegates already chosen by the several States 317 are favorable to Greeley and only thirteen against him, these being from Oregon, Delaware, and one from West Virginia. He also says that there is a powerful effort making on the part of some of the old hunkers of the Democracy to defeat Greeley before the Convention by a *coup d'etat*, and that letters and circulars in great numbers have been confidentially circulated for the purpose. The scheme, as developed, is to secure the States of Arkansas, Georgia, Ohio, Illinois, Texas, and New Jersey, which, it is held, would do much toward controlling the Convention. The officer, however, unhesitatingly admits that Greeley will be nominated, and that the contest in November will be a very close one.

A letter received at the Greeley and Brown headquarters, to-day, from one of the leading Democrats of Indiana, dated Terre Haute, June 14, says that Judge Dowling was selected as Delegate-at-Large for the State, beating Dan Voorhees by a vote of 134 to 37. The latter also says that the latter gentleman failed to receive recognition, and that his vaulting ambition o'erleaped itself, and, indeed, that he has got no followers. Thirty delegates have been chosen from that State, and they are a unit for Greeley.

Preparations are making for a monster mass-meeting, in favor of Greeley and Brown, at Warrenton, Va., on Wednesday, the 26th inst. A special train will be run from here and Alexandria, and it is expected the meeting will be one of the largest ever held in the State. Warrenton, being the home of General Mosby, the latter will be invited to speak at the meeting in behalf of Grant, and pay all the good he can devise of Caesar. The citizens of that town are anxious to hear Mosby explain the reason for his course, and the statements to be made, in a recent interview with the President. Among the speakers will be ex-Governor Wm. Smith, M. F. Conway, Lewis McKinney, and, probably, Governor Fenton.

REPUBLICAN NOMINATION IN THE NINTH INDIANA CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT.

Special Despatch to The Chicago Tribune.

MUNCIE, Ind., June 18.—The Congressional Convention of the Ninth District held here to-day, nominated General J. P. C. Shanks as candidate for re-election to Congress. His competitor was Colonel Robert Robertson, of Fort Wayne, who received 38 votes to General Shanks' 104, and then moved to make the nomination unanimous, pledging his hearty support to the nominee and Republican ticket every where.

The Convention was harmonious throughout, and the Republicans are jubilant.

Hon. J. J. Cheney, of Randolph County, was nominated as candidate for Judge of the Court of Common Pleas for the counties of Blackford, Jay, Randolph, and Delaware; and Wheis, of Jay County, District Prosecutor.

After the nominations, speeches were made by General Shanks and Colonel Robertson, after which the Convention adjourned until after dinner.

Reassembling, resolutions were read and a short speech made by Senator Morton, who was in the city. The Senator referred to the great and distinguished services to the country of the Republican party, and compared them to the meagre array of personalities and factious opposition to the party now which are the foundation of the party opposing. He also held Mr. Greeley up to the light, declaring that if he should be selected by the Democrats and Liberals he would be a Democratic President, and that the Liberals would find themselves left out in the cold. He characterized the Cincinnati Convention as shameless and corrupt, and of having made bargains that men in County Conventions would not have dared to make. The sententious tones of the speaker awoke the old-time enthusiasm, and commanded the closest attention.

ILLINOIS COUNTY CONVENTIONS.

Special Despatch to The Chicago Tribune.

PEORIA, Ill., June 18.—The County Democratic Convention was held in the Court House to-day and created considerable interest. A resolution, indorsing in unqualified terms, the Cincinnati Liberal ticket and platform, was adopted by a vote of 95 to 24. Hon. William O'Brien, made a speech an hour long, taking decided Liberal grounds, and urging the Baltimore Convention to nominate Greeley and Brown, as the only hope for the downfallen Democracy. He said that he, as ever, the Democratic party recognized the old maxim of "principles, not men," and that with Greeley and Brown,

OREGON.

Columbia River--Fogs--Chinooks--Mountains--Portland--Business.

Railroads--Salmon--Mount Hood--Willamette Valley--Salem--Settlers.

From Our Own Correspondent.

PORTLAND, Oregon, June 5.

One of the most pleasant States of the Far West, is Oregon. Going up on the steamship from San Francisco, one passes by the Farallones Islands, and, in due time, reaches the

MOUTH OF THE COLUMBIA RIVER,

where the waves are constantly dashing, and where a sand-bar makes the entrance to the river quite dangerous. Several ships and other vessels have been lost here, and, among the rest, the United States sloop-of-war Peacock, built to replace the one which was captured from the British during the war of 1812,—the original having been taken and sunk by Captain James Lawrence, in the United States ship-of-war Hornet, on the 23d of February, 1813. At the time she was wrecked, she belonged to the United States Exploring Expedition under Commander Charles Wilkes, and went to pieces in July, 1841.

This river was discovered in 1792, by Captain Gray, in the Boston ship Columbia, which name was given to the stream. After Captain Gray left the river, he fell in with the British Exploring Expedition under Captain George Vancouver. He told Vancouver of his discovery, and the British at once ascended the stream, and gave the name to Mount Hood which it now bears. Vancouver's name is perpetuated in the great island which lies on our northwest coast, and in the city and fort of Vancouver, on the Columbia River.

On either side of the entrance there is a fort; and brisking cannon may be seen, looking long, and black, and dangerous, through the fog which almost all the time enshrouds the sea-shore. Everything has a crude and unfinished look, and there is a damp, disagreeable feeling about all the surroundings, which is painfully suggestive of neuralgia and rheumatism. It rains on an average,

FOUR TIMES A DAY,

in Baker's Bay, as the bay inside the mouth of the river is called; and the pine and fir trees, which line both banks of the stream, continually weep and sigh, until it seems as if their dark, evergreen foliage was doing penance for the sins of the world. It is sad and depressing enough. The sailor-captains have foggy voices; a fog bell sounds off the headlands; and anti-fogmatics, in the shape of whiskey-toddies, are voted quite the thing. No wonder the people of Oregon, or that portion of them at least who live near the sea-shore, are called "Web-Feet." They are continually wet, and look as lank, and lean, and moist, as a loon.

Twelve miles from the mouth of the river we come to

ASTORIA,

which is a thriving-looking village, built in the edge of a great forest. The houses are made of clap-boards, most of them painted white, and presenting a fresh look, which is really cheering. Across the bay, there is quite an extensive settlement of

CHINOOK INDIANS,

many of whom have their heads flattened in a peculiar manner, which is considered by them to be a mark of aristocracy. The Chinook jargon is the language used by all of the Indians and whites along the course of the Columbia, and, indeed, in British Columbia, in their intercourse with one another. The jargon, or language, is by no means high-toned, and appears to have been made up by the fur traders of Indian, French, and English words. The Indians themselves are a fine, good-looking, their legs being bent up and deformed from squatting so much in their canoes. These Indians live almost all the time in their canoes, and float about in them in the most graceful manner. Their principal food is salmon, and they catch great quantities of these fish. They are also fond of smelts, and, in the proper season, may be seen in their canoes with half a canoe full of them. They are squalid and dirty, and many of them have the almond-shaped eyes which are peculiar to the nations of Eastern Asia. The tribe of Indians in the Northwest lives partly in Asia and partly in America, namely: the Technicali—so that it is by no means difficult to account for the peopling of America from Asia, were it necessary to do so; but it appears to the common mind as easy for the Creator to create a man in America as in Asia.

After a short stop at Astoria, the steamship moves on up the majestic river, passing several villages and settlements, and giving the passengers a chance to see the peaks of Mounts Rainier and St. Helen's, away off to the left. These are magnificent mountains, and add great beauty to the landscape. The scenery, as a whole, is quite pleasing, with a green carpet, and the dark shades of green from the pine and fir trees, amid which may be seen

NUMEROUS SMALL STREAMS,

diversify the scene in the most charming manner. As these streams went murmuring toward the great river, I could not help thinking of Coleridge's lines, which seemed here to meet their absolute fulfilment:

A noise like of a hidden brook
In the leafy month of June,
Which, to the sleeping woods, all night,
Singeth a quiet tune.

The edges of the stream are covered with a rich growth of grasses and ferns, with here and there proud groups of lilies and flags, which flaunt their beauties in every breeze. Here, too, are seen herds of fine cattle, and on several islands there are indications of successful farming and an independent mode of life.

In due time, the black hull of our steamship turns slowly to the right, and we enter

THE WILLAMETTE RIVER,

the pride and glory of the State of Oregon. It is this stream which gives character to the whole Commonwealth, and every true Oregonian thinks it is his duty to extol the near relative beauty of the Willamette Valley. Six miles up the river we reach the City of Portland, which is 126 miles from the mouth of the Columbia River. Large ocean steamships from all parts of the world come to the wharves of this city, and large numbers of sailing vessels are loaded with lumber for all parts of the world. Portland is a city of 10,000 inhabitants, and is the largest city in Oregon. It is the commercial metropolis of the State, and is the point where the Oregon Central Railroad, which runs down the Willamette Valley, connects with the Oregon & California Railroad, which runs up the Columbia River to the Cascades, whence passengers take railroad cars around, and reach another steamboat, which carries them to the Dalles, where they again take railroad cars around to Celilo, where they again take a steamboat and are carried to Lewiston, in Idaho. The Company is a strong one, and having had the exclusive right to navigate the waters of Oregon for several years past, has become rich. Its money has been fairly and justly earned, and all who have travelled on its boats must speak in high terms of their cleanliness and management.

PORTLAND

is quite a city, and has a neat and home-like appearance. The streets are wide and pleasant, and a majority of the houses are frame buildings. The color of paint, as in other wise in a State which has such an absolutely boundless quantity of excellent pine timber. Still there are some fine-looking brick edifices, giving variety to the thoroughfares. In the suburbs are some mansions which would be creditable in any locality. From the bank of the river, the streets extend away back into the hills, and these are crossed at right angles by other streets—the background being filled in by pine-covered eminences. It is, in fact, a pretentious town, built in the midst of a forest.

THE SOIL,

in the "clearings" near about, does not appear to a careless mind to be particularly inviting. It being of a tow yellow, and showing too much clay. Many stumps are still standing in the outskirts, clearly showing that the town has been literally "grubbed" out of the timber. An Illinoisan is apt to look aghast at such a spectacle, and to sigh inwardly for the fertile prairies which he has left behind. There is a healthy air of business in several places, and much is done in the way of sending supplies far off to the mining regions, and in forwarding agricultural implements to the large farming portions of the Willamette, which are farther up the country. It is up the river, though it comes up from the southern part of the State, is going on, and travels south.

From what appears to be reliable data, I gather the following items regarding

THE TRADE OF THIS CITY.

The exports hence, to San Francisco, the Puget Sound ports, etc., amount of 18,500 tons, and the imports from the same places to 66,914 tons, showing a balance of 48,055 tons against Portland. The exports to Honolulu, Victoria, and Nanaimo, were 2,856 tons; the imports from the same places, 13,301 tons. The whole value of the exports to foreign ports amounts to $602,397, against a value of imports from the same ports of $517,633,—showing a balance in favor of Portland of $174,764.

Railroads are beginning to stretch their iron arms over the country, and ere long, Oregon will be able to make a fair show with her more favored sisters farther to the East. This will do for a stranger to say a great deal; Oregonians do not seem to think there is a more favored country on the planet than his own loved State. Especially do those who dwell near the banks of the Willamette think so.

FAVORED BY PROVIDENCE,

and they are exceedingly apt to think those individuals who reside in other portions of the Great Republic as lamentables, in the aggregate, to but very little. Next to Californians the Oregonians look down upon the outside world with the most lordly scorn. We all know what to. Mock said to the British officers when they asked him how long he had lived in the country. "Why," said Jo., "when I first came here, Mount Hood was a hole in the ground."

The greatness of some people always reminds me of

SERGEANT BOMB OWENS,

of West Point, who, after having served twenty years, achieved the full rank of Sergeant. Bomb could never weary of telling this. He would get a lot of admiring auditors about him, and then relate his story: "When I came here, twenty years ago," said Bomb, "I was a poor little boy; now look at me!" He had accomplished enough. After twenty years faithful "sogering," there he was, sure enough, a full Sergeant of Artillery.

It is by no means uncommon in the streets of Portland to hear a conversation carried on

IN CHINOOK,

after this fashion:

"Kla-how-lam six," (Good morning,) says an Indian approaching a white man.

The white man, eyeing his fish, says: "Mi-ka tick-ey mamook o-cook salmon?" (Will you sell that salmon?)

"Na-wit-ka" (Yes), answers the Chinook. "Ic-la-mi ka pot-latch?" (For how much?)

"Look-et bit." (Four bits.)

And so on until the bargain is completed. I have seen

LARGE SALMON,

and as handsome a fish as ever swam, sold for half a dollar apiece,—large enough, it seemed to me, to feed a family upon for a week. But there is this about it: one soon gets enough salmon, and, rich and delicate as they are, they soon clog the appetite. Notwithstanding all that has been said to the contrary, salmon are frequently caught with the hook and hair, and in this way the soldiers at Cape Disappointment supplied themselves.

In passing up the Willamette River from the Columbia, some

MOUNTAIN SCENERY

may be seen which is unsurpassed in any country. The most prominent mountain-peak is Mount Hood, an earthly giant, gaunt and grim with the storms of thousands of years, and seamed along its sides by cataracts and glaciers. Snow lies on the top of this mountain during the whole year, and a thin column of smoke may be seen rising lazily from its summit, for it is a true volcano. In addition to this may be seen Mounts Rainier, St. Helens, Adams, and Jefferson. It is impossible to imagine anything more sublime than this view—the outlines of the mountains being so grand and imposing, and their distances from one another being so great. One is awe-struck with the wondrous beauty of the landscape as it lies in all its magnificent proportions under a bright June sun. In all the world, I do not believe there is a more splendid scene! It must be borne in mind that Mounts Rainier, St. Helens, and Adams are in Washington Territory, above the Columbia River; the others are in Oregon. One can scarcely blame the inhabitants for being so proud of this majestic mountain-scenery, and they are apt to speak of Mount Hood in terms of affectionate endearment. The wild vines that grow about its base, the tangled undergrowth that guards the approach to it, and the piles of rocks which line its sides, all give additional charms to it, and make it really a Mountain King.

THE VALLEY OF THE WILLAMETTE

is the garden-spot of Oregon; it is, in fact, the one great tract of land in the State. It is distant about 40 miles from the ocean, and runs parallel with the coast, the Coast-Range Mountains intervening. The valley is 180 miles long, and from 40 to 60 miles wide. The land is principally prairie, and the soil is good. There is an abundance of timber near at hand, and many mountain-streams cross the valley, pouring their waters into the river. Steamboats run nearly the whole length of the river during six months of the year. It is along this valley that the great tide of the settlers will be found, and here is many a pioneer living.

IN PATRIARCHAL SIMPLICITY.

These people are very independent, and look upon all newcomers as intruders, who deem their rights with great dread. Railroads are considered by them as dangerous institutions, and, though the price of their lands may be enhanced by their coming, they view them with very little favor. They have all they want, and what more need they ask for? As they have lived for twenty or thirty years past, they intend to live their people on earth. Here, surrounded by their flocks and herds,—in a climate noted for its healthfulness,—the old settlers have found an earthly paradise, and what wants of these people are few, and they wish most emphatically to be let alone. Many of them are as rich as they care about being, and they are exceedingly averse to being annoyed in any way.

It will be many years before Oregon will have a dense population; and, whatever may be said to the contrary, the land in this farming land contained within her limits is, by no means, extensive. What there is has been mostly taken up by actual settlers, who in former times were allowed to locate

"HEAD RIGHTS"

of a mile square. It does not require much arithmetic to show that a hundred settlers would take up seven townships of land. Now, and, of all men on earth, the Oregonian suffers most from the irksomeness of neighbors. There are some fine orchards in this valley, apples from which have been taken over the mountains at the rate of three for a dollar. I believe all the more hardy fruits do well in this locality, and the people are quite vain of their productions. The soil is of superior capacity for producing corn, tobacco, and various kinds of roots and vegetables, which sometimes attain an astonishing growth.

SALEM,

the capital of the State, is located on the Willamette River, and looks like a New England or New York village. The inhabitants are very moral and well-behaved, and are proud of their town. I believe a majority of the people are from the East, or at least are descended from parents originally from the Eastern and Middle States. In the country there are many settlers from Missouri, who, in this land of freedom, enjoy the appellations of Pikes. We all know them; they are so long, and lean, and brown, and lathy. And their women—well, we will not say anything about them.

In old times,—that is to say, twenty years ago,—it was considered a great thing to go to Oregon. Now we do not hear much about it; and the reason is, that it was soon found that the lands of the State

WOULD BEAR NO COMPARISON

with those of Illinois and Indiana. I have seen many a homesick Illinoisan and Hoosier, and on one of them declaring that not any single county in Illinois was worth more than the whole Pacific Coast. This was drawing it pretty strong; but the poor man believed it, and his tears actually came in his eyes when he thought of the home of his childhood, near the banks of Fox River.

Some Americans must roam about the earth, after the manner of

THE WANDERING JEW,

and these are the men who go to Oregon. After they get there, they look out on the broad Pacific, and sigh to think they cannot travel their wagons and drive their herds thereon. They hear of the Sandwich Islands, and of the sooty-browed King Kamehameha, and fancy that they are pouring their valuables

WING MACHINES
VH & BAKER'S
ng Machines
proved Shuttle Stitc
ACHINES.
vorite Elastic Stitch
ACHINES.
SALESROOMS,
Randolph and
127 East Eighteenth-s
STOVES.
THE NEW
ERICAN
OOK STOVE.
perators, Kedzie's Water Filters, Pa
Frames, Clothes Dryers, Gas Stov
d an endless variety of new and desi
ping Goods.
DALTON'S,
rner Wabash av and Twenty-second.
SINESS DIRECTORY.
dvertising Agencies.
EDLER & CO. are authorized to recei
ents for all Chicago daily papers at th
; also for any newspaper or periodi
and foreign countries and keep
Directory, 154 South Clark st.
UER & CO., 126 Fifth-av., near Madison
American Horse Shoer.
ERICAN HORSE SHOER—Office, 30
, 74 Parkhurst, east side Mich. S. Do
Architects.
24 Wabash av, rooms of Robb & Ackerm
and Painters' Materials.
M & CO., 47 Wabash av.
Banks.
ARM & MECH. SAVING, 84 S. Hals
ATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO, 74 Wash
ting and Rubber Goods.
& WHEELER, 79 West Randolph st.
ON COMPANY, 48 Randolph st.
ts (Prehn & Callender Tables),
ROS. & H. A. D. SMITH, 69 Wabash av.
e Manufacturers, Stationers, &
Job Printers.
DOW, 45 Clark st, and 46 Metropolitan
ASBERG, HOFFMAN & CO., 16 W. Ra
POWELL, Printer and Engraver,
& A. CO., 81 South Canal st.
LTON, 4 and 7 East Randolph st.
ON & CO., 49 Wabash av.
SALLY & CO., 18 West Randolph st.
& HOWARD, 40 Wabash av.
ckseller, Stationers and Publishers.
BREWS & CO., 49 Wabash av.
ERS & CO., 40 Wabash av.
Boots and Shoes (Retail).
47 Wabash av.
Boots and Shoes (Wholesale).
RASETT & HILLS, 239 Michigan av.
D & CO., 264 & 266 Madison, cor. Marke
ERS & CO., 40 Wabash av.
OLDMAN & SONS, Randolph, nr. Wabash
Brokers and Bankers.
NNINGTON CO., 21 and 23 N. Clarke
ELAND & GODFREY, 123 Mich. av.
Brewers and Bronze Materials.
ARD, 216 East Washington st.
Bridges.
STON & SON, 74 Central Block, 108 S
Building Paper.
ER PAPER CO., 45 West Lake st.
Builders in Iron.
& JOHNSTON, 77 Dearborn-st.
Brushes.
HARD & CO., 107 East Madison-st.
Carriages.
RS BROKER, cor. Ann and W. Randol
Cigars (Wholesale).
CO. of Rivers, Send for terms to Agen
WOLD) & CO., Mich.-av cor Jackson-st
Clothing.
M CLOCK CO., 287 Wabash av.
Clothing (Retail).
ST, Merchant Tailor, 151 Clark-st.
Commission Merchants.
ER & CO., Room 27 Central Union Blo
Grain, Provisions and Deals.
STER, 12 Market st.
Confectionery (Wholesale).
ON & CO., 48 Wabash av.
China, Glassware, Lamps, &
FRENCH & CO., 169 Wabash av.
ER & CO., 140, 142 and 144 Washing
CO. (Manf. Agents), 34 North Canal.
ER & CO., 57 and 59 Michigan av.
HURST, cor. Monroe st. and Michigan
and Firearms (Wholesale).
ARD & CO., 78 West Washington st.
Wall Paper.
Wells & Co., 74 Central Block, 108 S
Am. E. U. (ex-div.), 74 Central Block,
United States Ex., 56
Manufacturers.
New York Carpet, 56
N. Y. C. Scrip, 56
Sale.
ices preferred, 112
Harlem, preferred, 119½
Hartford, preferred, 130
Hudson River, 119½
Pittsburgh, 103
Reading, 107½
Rock Island, 109½
New Jersey Central, 103½

REAL ESTATE.

Instruments Filed For Record Tuesday, June 18.

CITY PROPERTY.

Lot 9, in Hadley Block 84, Sec 7, 39, 14, dated April 30; consideration, $3,000.

North st, W 8 of Oakley st, s f 48x130 ft, dated June 11; consideration, $1,200.

North av, s e corner of Oakley st, s f 49x133 ft, dated June 11; consideration, $1,350.

Lot 7, in set Emily and Jane sts, e f, 26x129½ ft, dated June 15; consideration, $900.

Lot 10 and 10 ft lot 26, of Bliss' Block 127, dated Bowen Addition, dated March 27; consideration, $2,000.

Lot 1, in Block 6, of s w ¼ Sec 20, 39, 14, dated May 3; consideration, $900.

Lindell st, bet Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth sts, w f 24 ft to alley, dated June 7; consideration, $600.

Lowe av, n e corner of Thirty-eighth st, w f, 92 ft to alley, dated June 7; consideration, $200.

Lot 1, in Block 4 av, bet Eleventh and Twelfth sts, e f 24 ft to alley, dated June 3; consideration, $600.

Lots 27 in Egan's Block 26, of w ¼ Sec 17, 39, 14, dated June 11; consideration, $4,500.

Lot 1, in corner of Thirty-second st, and Wentworth av, dated May 20; consideration, $700.

Lot 15, in Block 4, Sec 7, 39, 14, dated June 11; consideration, $740.

Lots 1 to 10, in Block 1, of s f Thirty-fifth st, w f, 58x125 ft, dated June 12; consideration, $1,900.

Lot 1, in Block 15, of Holstein, dated June 14; consideration, $1,000.

Lot 6, in Block 4, Sec 7, 39, 14, dated June 11; consideration, $740.

Lots 1 to 10, in Block 1, of s f Thirty-fifth st, w f, 58x125 ft, dated June 12; consideration, $1,900.

Lot 1, in Block 15, of Holstein, dated June 14; consideration, $1,000.

Lot 1

The Chicago Tribune.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION (PAYABLE IN ADVANCE).
Daily Edition, per year, by Mail.....$12.00
Tri-Weekly Edition, per year, by Mail..... 6.00
Sunday Edition, per year, by Mail..... 2.50
Weekly Edition, per year, by Mail..... 2.00
Parts of a year at the same rate.
To prevent delay and mistakes, be sure and give Post Office address in full, including State and county.
Remittances may be made either by draft, express, Post Office order, or in registered letters, at our risk.
TERMS TO CITY SUBSCRIBERS.
Daily, delivered, Sunday excepted, 25 cents per week.
Daily, delivered, Sunday included, 30 cents per week.
Address THE TRIBUNE COMPANY,
No. 15 Canal-st., Chicago, Ill.

TRIBUNE Branch Office, No. 423 Wabash-av., in the Bookstore of Messrs. Cobb, Andrews & Co., where advertisements and subscriptions will be received, and will secure the same attention as if left at the Main Office.

Wednesday Morning, June 19, 1872.

CAMPAIGN TRIBUNE.

Reduced Rates for The Weekly Chicago Tribune for the Campaign.

The country is now entering upon a Presidential Campaign which will, perhaps, be the most exciting that has been known since 1860. It is now morally certain that the only candidates in the field for the Presidency will be HORACE GREELEY and ULYSSES S. GRANT. THE CHICAGO TRIBUNE will support Greeley and Brown for President and Vice-President, on the Cincinnati Platform; and the weekly campaign edition will be furnished from the 15th of May to the 15th of November, or for any shorter time, at the following extremely low rates:

One copy..... $0.50.
Ten copies..... 4.00.
Twenty-five copies..... 10.00

Send in your orders.

Remittances may be made either by draft express, Post Office order, or in registered letters, at our risk.

Address THE TRIBUNE COMPANY,
Chicago, Illinois.

THE GRANT TIDE EBBING.

The coalition of a very few Bourbon Democrats with the Grant Republicans for the continuation of the Rebellion and the War, like many a previous holy alliance, is coming to grief. Since the Cincinnati Convention, the great Rebellion absolutely refuses to reappear on our political stage. The Ku-Klux do not "outrage" worth a cent. The patriotic sky-rockets that were burned in the last campaign refuse to go off again. Repeated assertions by Governor Oglesby, and the Regular State platforms, that this campaign is the same old war that was pending in 1861 to '64, fail to arouse the old war spirit. The fact is beginning to be felt that the burial of the elements of Slavery and the Rebellion, which the Republican party tried so long to effect, was abruptly accomplished at Cincinnati. When Democrats and ex-Rebels come forward to vote for Negro Suffrage, Civil Service Reform, General Amnesty, and Horace Greeley, it is no longer in the old Rebel cause they are voting. Their vote cannot be held up to scare a month-old baby. Nobody can persuade himself to fear or dread its success. The change of motive is as complete as when Saul of Tarsus proclaimed the Gospel which lately he had persecuted.

The dullest vision can perceive that, if a man votes to limit the President's power to pay for personal gifts with public office, his vote is safe and patriotic, whether he fought for the Union or against it. So, if he votes to perpetuate the power of a President who peddles out the Government patronage for his private profit, his vote is wrong, though all his legs and arms may have been shot off in fighting for the Union. No man's record in the war can determine whether his vote is right or wrong in this contest.

Never could the principles of the Republican party receive at once so complete a vindication and so eternal a quietus as when three and a half millions of Democrats set the seal of their approval on them by voting for the ratification of Emancipation, Reconstruction, and Impartial Suffrage, as embodied in the Constitution and the Cincinnati Platform. The feeble wiseacres who now denounce the Cincinnati movement as the disruption of the Republican party, will yet see in it the coronation of true Republicanism. It strikes an agreement between warring sections and conflicting parties, buries old issues, and starts the country on a new political career. In this new career the Liberal party is the party of the advance. It holds the initiative and aggressive. It will shape the legislation and destinies of the country in the future, as the Republican party has for the past twelve years. It will force the cohorts of dulness, timidity, and irresolution, into the Conservative ranks. It will compel Bourbon Republicans in the Northwest to accept the guidance of the Chicago *Times*, and in the Atlantic States to follow the *World*. If they like their company, we do not complain.

The Republicans who hold back and say that there must be no legislation in Congress, although three-fifths of the American people may favor it, unless the whole Republican party favors it, and exactly on a par with the Democrats who deny that the Slavery question is ended, and propose to take the ballot from the colored man. The Republicans who say we shall have no Civil Service Reform, because it curtails the President's patronage, are no better than those who propose to repudiate the national debt. Both refuse to recognize accomplished facts, and assist of General Grant, so that he could afterward become a traitor? When did Horace Greeley agree to hold his political liberties subject to such orders as might be issued by Grant, countersigned by Babcock or Dent? When did any other member of the Republican party agree to support General Grant one moment after the lawful expiration of his term of office?

The truth is, that there can be no treason in politics except treason to *principles*. No man is a traitor to the Republican party who supports its principles. The Cincinnati Platform is a better and clearer expression of Republican principles than the Philadelphia Platform, and Horace Greeley is an older and better Republican than General Grant. Therefore, no Republican can be justly called a traitor who votes for Greeley in preference to Grant. Moreover, nobody is going to be restrained from voting for Greeley by vituperative epithets, from which the sense and meaning have long since departed.

Some time ago we appealed to the generous public to establish some Holly Tree Coffee Rooms in Chicago. In response thereto, we received a letter from the manager of some denominational institution, where food was doled out across a counter, and another which promised the speedy opening of some *real* Holly Tree inns, which should differ from other restaurants only in the cheapness of their wares. This promise will be partially fulfilled by the opening, in a few days, of two such institutions in the business centre of the city. This, however, can be but a temporary relief. While the business centre is swarming with workmen, these two are in the right place, but, in a year, their occupation will be gone. Others should be established in the poorest parts of the city, where vice and filth abound, and where a clean, cheerful room, in which good food can be got for little and good reading for nothing, will surely attract a large part of the multitude which now finds in saloons its only refuge from cheerless homes.

THE BROKEN CORNER.

Yesterday, at 11 a. m., the operators in the corner on oats and warehouse-room pleaded the rule of the Board of Trade which suspends delivery between the hours of 11 and 1 o'clock, and, when the latter hour arrived, suspended business. The corner had broken, the blockade had ceased, and to-day there is ample room for grain in Chicago warehouses. The cause of the break was the natural one,—the want of means to carry it. The thing had become enormous in its proportions, and the backers, whoever they were, got alarmed, not only at the risk of ultimate failure, but at the irreparable injury they were doing to the business and credit of the city. The public have reason for congratulation that this great outrage has been arrested.

The loss to the persons engaged in this business must be great,—how much, we will not venture to say; and, in connection with this part of the subject, the Board of Trade owe to the country an important duty. This corner was a bold and impudent seizure of the avenues and conveniences of Commerce. It arrested the transportation of the Northwest, and drove an immense trade to other cities. It was a bold stake, in which a few men attempted the sacrifice of the public interests to make a few hundreds of thousands of dollars. That they failed was not because of any consideration for public interests, but because of the want of pecuniary ability to carry it through. The job was represented by a single firm, but everyone knows that there were others interested even more deeply. In the settlement of the losses there will, of course, be a large deficit; the firm will turn out all they have, and then the usual whitewashing will be expected, and the balance will be wiped out. This should not be tolerated in this case. Every man interested in the matter, whether warehouseman or banker, ought to be held individually responsible, and made to pay to the last farthing. Any other course is but an invitation to other men to attempt corners. It is worse. It is an invitation to responsible men to put up weak brothers to run a corner, and, if it fail, to leave the victims to look exclusively to the men of straw for their money. The way, and the only way, to prevent the repetition of such a proceeding as this, is to refuse any clearance to any of the parties until they settle up every dollar of their indebtedness incurred in this flagitious movement to arrest the commerce of the city.

The leader of the colored Republicans of Alabama lately said to a correspondent of the Cincinnati *Commercial*: "The negro voters of Alabama won't support any man, no matter who, that the Democrats support. They see the Democrats supporting Greeley, and that is all they want to know." If the negroes prefer to keep up that spirit of race, which can only tell to their own disadvantage, we know of no better way than this of watching which way the old Democracy goes, and then belting, like a flock of sheep, in the other. The only test of a man's political beliefs is the way he votes. It can be but the folly of ignorance that will cause the colored voters of the South to neglect this opportunity to bury old hates and feuds by a cordial co-operation with their whilom opponents. They have their choice between Horace Greeley, a life-long Republican, and Grant, whose only vote was cast for James Buchanan, and who always proclaimed himself a Democrat, until Colonel Forney persuaded him to change his beliefs for the sake of getting the power which he has since so grossly abused.

It is mournful to see the alarm with which the Grant organs of all kinds regard the failure of Democrats to stand by their old hates. One would think that journals professing to be Republican, and progressive, would behold with pride the magnificent spectacle of three or four millions of voters advancing to a position as progressive as their own; or, rather, taking up a new position, which covers all that is valuable in the late Republican creed, with much that is both valuable and new. The *Journal* thinks that, because Horace Greeley abused the Democratic party for standing by slavery ten years ago, therefore, Democrats ought not to vote for Greeley on the platform of Freedom and Civil Service Reform to-day. Other organs agonize over the same difficulty, yet all of them are supporting Grant, a Pro-Slavery Democrat, on an Abolition platform.

In 1800, there plied between Great Britain and the United States 3,356,614 tons of shipping, of which 2,245,234 were American, 945,608 English, and 165,712 of other flags. In 1868, the tonnage of the Anglo-American trade was 3,473,991, of which 714,213 were American, 2,103,872 English, and 355,696 of other flags. From 1868 to 1872, a like diminution of our commercial marine has been going on. Throughout these twelve years our loss has been England's gain. The demand for vessels has not lessened; it has increased, as the activity of British ship-yards shows. It is neither lack of skill nor of resources that has thrown us behind. Up to 1860, we furnished the world with ships. Only in the fatal policy of Protection can we find the cause of our decline; and to that policy the Philadelphia nominee is fully committed.

A week ago Monday, San Francisco was gladdened by the receipt of these two despatches:

WASHINGTON, June 10—2 p. m.
Thanks to the Committee of One Hundred for their kind resolutions. San Francisco is safe. Congress adjourns to-day. C. COLE.

WASHINGTON, June 10—11:41 p. m.
Congress just adjourned *sine die*. San Francisco safe for another term. *Long Live*. E. CASSERLY.

This rejoicing, by a Republican and a Democratic Senator, over the temporary "safety" of San Francisco from any more legalized swindles, in the way of Goat Island grants, etc., is an apt indication of the answer California will give, in November, to the plea for perpetuation in power of the party which has practically given the whole State to the Central Pacific Railroad Company, and has then virtuously resolved that it is opposed to any "further grants of public lands to corporations or monopolies."

The South Carolina Legislature has passed a law that prohibits praying and preaching in public, unless a license has been previously taken out therefor. This is not, as might be supposed, an attempt to prevent the publication of false doctrines. All religions are alike false to the high-toned carpet-baggers of the Palmetto State. It is merely a new scheme for raising the sinews of the war that the rulers of South Carolina are carrying on against the citizens of South Carolina. The latter have been so outrageously robbed and oppressed that religion has become their sole solace. The fact has not escaped the keen eyes of their masters, who have decided to tax even this one remaining luxury, in order to swell still further their ill-gotten gains.

We are glad to hear that the Statesowned by the carpet-bag wing of the Grant party are fast increasing their cotton crops. The gross yield will be nearly 13 per cent above that of last year. If anything like this rate of increase can be maintained, and if, by Greeley's election, the present plunderers are dismissed from power, the growth of Southern wealth will soon replace even the millions of property that thieving officials have made away with during the last four years.

A Democratic Conspiracy.

The Keokuk (Iowa) *Constitution*, a Democratic paper, throws some light upon the motives of those few Democrats who are opposing Greeley and supporting Grant. It appears that they have abandoned all hope of defeating Greeley's nomination at Baltimore, and that they are now seeking to have as many persons as possible sent to Baltimore who, while professing to support Greeley, will, after his nomination, leave the Convention, organize a new one, and nominate candidates for President and Vice President in opposition to Greeley and Brown. The Keokuk editor asserts that he has seen and read one of the secret letters sent from the Chicago *Times* office, urging this policy of deception and fraud. That our readers may know the evidence which is given to sustain this charge, we quote the following from the Keokuk *Constitution*:

> The course pursued by the Chicago *Times* in relation to the Presidency is such as to leave no reasonable doubt that its purpose is to secure the re-election of Grant.
>
> Having failed in its effort to control the Liberal Republican Movement, and to use it for the advancement of the political interests, it determined to destroy both the Democratic and Liberal parties, and deliver them, separated and bound, to the Grant Ring. Its motto is, "Rule or ruin," and all of its present solicitude for the Democratic party is mere pretence. It wears the Democratic uniform for the sole purpose of gaining the confidence of Democrats, and of then betraying them into the hands of U. S. Grant. Treason, however, is never dangerous when fully discovered and exposed.
>
> Wilbur F. Storey, editor and proprietor of the Chicago *Times*, belongs to a secret gang of traitors to the Democratic party. We make this charge public, and without qualification; and we produce the following facts to prove our assertion: He has written private letters to Democrats in the State of Iowa, one of which has been shown to us, and we have read it, and in that letter he stated the whole plan of the secret conspirators. First, he proposed that every effort should be made to prevent the sending of delegates to the National Convention at Baltimore who are in favor of Greeley and Brown. Secondly, to prevent, if possible, any destruction of the delegates to vote for Greeley and Brown. Third, the plan of the conspirators is to make such a effort to prevent a nomination of President and Vice-President by the Democratic Convention called to meet in Baltimore on the 9th of July, and after the breaking up of the regular Convention, to get together such of *the delegates as the conspirators can get to join them*, and nominate candidates for President and Vice-President, and call them candidates of the Democratic party; and afterwards to call a Convention of the Democratic party, and destruction of the name of "Democratic Convention," and nominate so called straight Presidential Electors to represent the Democratic party in the approaching campaign for President and Vice-President of the United States. We charge that the underlying motive of the opposition of Greeley and Brown, and to secure the re-election of Grant and Wilson, is projected by Wilbur F. Storey, of the Chicago *Times*, and that as his letters to private confidence letters to Democrats in this State, upon whom he supposed he could rely, to enter into his treasonable plot to sell the Democracy to Grant and Wilson.
>
> We have now, at the earliest opportunity, made public the treasonable scheme of Storey and his associate conspirators. The letter to which we refer is in Storey's handwriting, and was addressed to an anti-Greeley Democrat in Iowa prior to the holding of our recent State Democratic Convention, appointing delegates to the Baltimore Convention. Several letters were written by Storey, as we have learned from other anti-Greeley Democrats in this State, and the tactics proposed by Storey were attempted to be put in practice at our State Convention. The facts we have related did not come to our knowledge until after the adjournment of the Convention. With the knowledge we now have, we can easily account for several things that occurred during the holding of the recent State Convention, that created upon the minds of many Democrats that there was an under current at work in opposition to Greeley and Brown,—which was explained to us fully after we were informed the Convention had adjourned, of the contents of Storey's letter, and made aware of the premeditated treason of which he is the head.

The letters having been confidential, we suppose, accounts for their not being published. But, as the editor of the Keokuk paper asserts that he has seen and read one letter, and knows the handwriting, the proof seems rather direct.

Valencia, Spain, is said to contain the best regulated prison in the world. Its thousand inmates are almost entirely ruled by officers selected from their own number, who have received their positions as rewards for good conduct. Every convict, on entering, has his choice of forty trades. Of the profits of his labor, he receives one-fourth at once, and is given another fourth when discharged. Notwithstanding this very liberal provision, the revenues from the prison pay nearly all its expenses. Good conduct greatly shortens the term of confinement. All convicts under 30 years of age are compelled to attend school. The older ones can attend or not, as they choose. Every evening, before the men are locked in for the night, there is an hour devoted to general conversation. The only punishment for misconduct is close confinement. Under this rational system, the men go back to the world cured of crime. In most countries, over 50 per cent of discharged convicts are recommitted. At Valencia, the rate is never over 1 per cent, while often, for three years in succession, not a single man has been sent back.

A professional beggar, arrested at Newburyport, Mass., had on his person a letter to his wife, from which it appeared that he had cleared, above all expenses, $18.90 by one day's work in that town of 13,000 people. A skilled mechanic would do well to clear that amount by a week of honest toil. The contrast is remarkable. Every scheme of systematic benevolence designed to transform drones into workers and increase the comforts of a laborer's life, finds its worst foe in that criminal carelessness which pays such premiums on idleness. It has been proved, a hundred thousand times over, that much giving is the prolific parent of much asking. The beggars of some European States are shining examples of this truth. It might be proved a thousand times more, without abating one jot that confiding credulousness of woman-kind, which believes each new and each old lie of each new and each old beggar, and enables the whole tribe to lead their lives of disgraceful sloth.

The Kansas City *Weekly Times* of June 14 contains five columns of letters giving accounts of the crops in Kansas and Western Missouri. They show that winter wheat is a complete failure, while corn, oats, and fruit present fair prospects.

POLITICAL.

The Danville *Times* says General Charles Black, of Champaign (anti-Administration,) will probably run for Congress in the Fourteenth District, against Joseph G. Cannon (Administration candidate), and we may add, be elected. The *Times* says:

> A change of 426 votes would elect him (Black), allowing the voting population to be unchanged in numbers. We must keep our organization well in hand, and not attempt to proscribe Greeley Republicans, or drive them from us, or we shall see the splendid Republican majority to which we are rightfully entitled dwindle to a mere handful, or be turned against us.

—The Hon. W. H. Underwood, of Belleville, seeing he is not likely to be on the Administration ticket for Congress in the Seventeenth District, boldly declares he is not a candidate.

—The Alton (Ill.) *Telegraph*—Administration—claims to have discovered a "bargain and sale" between the Old-Hunker Democracy and the newly-born Liberal Republican party, by which all of the Executive offices are to be given to the latter, while the former are to have all the members of the Legislature and the Congressmen." The *Telegraph* is well informed in one respect, viz.: that the combination will sweep the board.

—Major General Bunsby, of the *Illinois State Journal*, seems to think that—

> The proceedings of Democratic Conventions in numerous States seem to indicate that Mr. Greeley will receive some sort of a nomination at Baltimore.

—Forney says "Wilson is a superb organizer." Yes; in 1854 the Know-Nothings polled 81,000 votes in Massachusetts,—a gain of that number on the previous year.

—Charles H. Lanphier, of Springfield, Ill., former editor of the *State Register*, will be a candidate for State Treasurer, before the Convention to meet on the 26th.

—The Hon. Thomas A. Hendricks, candidate for Governor of Indiana, will address the Democratic Convention, in Voorhees' District, at Spencer, July 18.

—Of the so-be Senator-elect of New Hampshire, the Administration editor of the Fort Wayne *Gazette* says:

> "And who is the dance in Bainbridge Wadleigh?" He is a worthy man, undoubtedly; but, though we have been quite familiar with New Hampshire politics for the past ten years, having been a resident of the State during four years of that time, we never knew until now that there is such a man as Bainbridge Wadleigh.

—It is claimed that Hon. Butler, George B. Loring, and Thomas Russell have become the potential "ring" in Massachusetts politics; that Bro. is to be Senator, George Governor, and Thomas attend to office business. Butler and Loring are a little jealous of each other now, until things get settled, and it is therefor, that Palfrey, editor of the Salem *Register*, who showed imprudent preference for Loring, has had his head cut off as Collector of the Port, by order of the squint-eyed man of destiny.

—Of the two H. G.'s—Horse Grant and Horace Greeley, candidates for the Presidency, we prefer the latter.—*Toledo Sun*.

—The "regular correspondent" of the Boston *Journal*, at Augusta, Me., says the State will be all right for Grant, in November, of course, but there will be a terrible falling off in the Republican vote in September, in consequence of which most strenuous efforts will be required to elect Governor Perham.

—The Portland *Advertiser* (Administration) reviews the proceedings of the Maine Republican Convention, and says:

> Some of the lookers on imagined that they saw the fine Roman hand of Senator Hamlin in a majority of these manipulations. There is, in fact, a growing suspicion that the gradual scale which two years ago undertook to "corner" the Republican party in Maine, and was completely floored for the time being by the unexpected nomination of Mr. Perham, is resuming operations on a large scale. If this is so, the stock will be ruined before they have done with it.

—Congressman William P. Frye, a candidate for re-election, made a speech in the Maine Republican Convention, last week, of which the Portland *Advertiser* says:

> The speech was received with great applause, but the sober second thought of many of the delegates recalled at the reviling of the Republicans lately so honored as Mr. Sumner and Mr. Greeley.

—In the Saginaw District of Michigan (the eighth), the Republicans named for Congress are William H. Sweet, present Mayor of Saginaw City; Luther Westover, N. B. Bradley, James Birney, and Mr. Shearer, of Bay City; and John G. Owen, of East Saginaw.

—Boyd Winchester, of the Louisville District, is the only Kentucky member of Congress who holds out against Honest Horace; and, Boyd has been repudiated by his constituents, who propose to elect Dr. E. D. Standiford, next time.

—The Iowa election, in Presidential years, is held in November; other years in October. Therefore this year Iowa does not come in the class of States that hold "October elections."

—The Louisville *Courier-Journal* says:

> The Democratic Convention of the State meets next Thursday, and its action is already a foregone conclusion. To reflect as will of the people, it must indorse the Greeley and Brown ticket as unequivocally and heartily as did the Convention in the other State over the river last week.

—James B. McCreary, of Madison, late Speaker of the Kentucky Legislature, withdraws from the Congressional race in the Eighth District, because the selection of a candidate is to be made by a delegate Convention, and not by primary election. The other candidates are Albert G. Talbott, Robert J. Breckinridge, W. A. Hoskins, M. J. Durham, and Frank Wolford.

PERSONAL.

Thomas A. Scott gives $20,000 to the College at Chambersburg, Pa.

—Judge Asher B. Bates, having become totally blind, has been relieved of duty as Register in Bankruptcy at San Francisco. He is a native of Michigan, and was for many years Attorney General of the Sandwich Islands.

—Dr. R. Beverly Cole declines to serve in his accustomed place as Fourth of July Grand Marshal at San Francisco.

—Gardner P. Drury, of the well-known firm of Hinckley & Drury, locomotive-builders, at Boston, died last week, aged 69.

—Dr. James F. Armstrong, Health-officer of Cleveland, has been appointed physician at the Marine Hospital, vice Dr. N. B. Prentice, appointed United States Marshal.

—General D. W. Adams, lately deceased at New Orleans, was a native of Kentucky, son of George Adams, the United States District Judge of Mississippi. He took an active part as the outbreak of the war in the South, and became Lieutenant Colonel of the First Infantry, with which he fought at Shiloh. He was afterward engaged in nearly every battle of the Western Confederate Army.

—The Hon. Thompson W. McNeely, M. C., will be Fourth of July orator at Petersburg, Ill.

—Lady Beaconsfield, Disraeli's wife, is ill beyond hope of recovery.

—Colonel Andrew Erwin, brother-in-law to John Bell, candidate for President in 1860, died in Lafayette, Ala., last week.

—Dr. Daniel G. Dodge was on the 12th reappointed Superintendent of the Binghampton Inebriate Asylum.

Reception of the Hon. John B. Stoll at Ligonier, Ind.

LIGONIER, Ind., June 14.

To the Editor of The Chicago Tribune:

SIR: The Hon. John B. Stoll, candidate for Auditor of State, arrived here on the 10:45 train from the West.

When the train arrived, and our worthy representative stepped upon the platform, he was surprised to find a large number of friends anxiously awaiting his arrival, and, before he had time for utterance, was greeted with three loud and unanimous cheers for "Hon. J. B. Stoll for Auditor of State," and was literally carried to the head of the procession, led by the Ligonier Band, to whose melodious strains we marched to his residence, amidst the thundering of cannon. When he arrived at his door, and after he had received the greetings of his family, H. G. Zimmerman, Esq., in behalf of his many friends and the Democracy of Ligonier, in a brief speech, tendered to him their congratulations and pledges of cordial and earnest support.

Mr. Stoll responded in an eloquent address of some fifteen minutes, thanking his fellow-citizens for this cordial and entirely unexpected demonstration of their confidence and hearty approval, and pledging himself to the continuance, as heretofore, of his advocacy of an honest and faithful administration of the Government in behalf of the best interests of the people. His remarks were frequently interrupted by applause, and when he had again thanked them and bade them good-night, the town was silence; but it labored to effect a combination which would have for its mission the purification and elevation of American politics.

The Times also remember that the *Times* and TRIBUNE respectively had their candidates—the former Judge Davis, and the latter Senator Trumbull. Both papers pressed their candidates with considerable warmth. The *Times* insisted that the Cincinnati movement was the hope of the patriotism of the country, and hence indicated that it would support the action of the Convention by bolting. The emphasis charged THE TRIBUNE with insincerity,—holding that, if Senator Trumbull was not nominated, it would desert the cause and the black on Grant. Examine the result: Neither paper endorsed its choice. THE TRIBUNE, in proof of its sincerity and patriotism, at once rallied to the support of the Liberal cause and the Cincinnati nominees, while the *Times* belittles itself and perfidiously decries them.

How can the present course of the *Times'* editor be justified? He finds no fault with the platform, its endorsement appears to be based on the candidates. Why is this? Is it because Mr. Greeley is a Republican? Did not the *Times* editor advocate the nomination of Judge Davis? Has he not drew a Democratic breath in his life, and who was bred a Whig and Protectionist? He now asserts that Greeley cannot defeat Grant, and that success is a straight nomination. This is just the reverse of what he taught prior to the Cincinnati Convention.

Has his course been manly or just, in first having asked Democrats to sympathize with the Cincinnati project, and now in seeking to divide and distract them by denouncing the Cincinnati nominees and such Democrats as support them?

Although the Democrats all over the Union, in State Conventions assembled, have ratified the Cincinnati Platform, and indorsed its nominees, until there can be no further question that similar action will be taken at Baltimore, yet, in face of these facts and declarations, the *Times* daily denounces Greeley and Brown, and says they cannot be elected. It gives no credit to the official action of the Democratic party, but freely publishes false and denunciatory articles from it's correspondents. Its correspondent here is a blatant Grant-Republican, and his misrepresentations of public sentiment and vile abuse of the friends of the Liberal movement are published in the *Times* with a seeming good relish. Is this fair? Is it just to Democrats? Is it honest on the part of the *Times*? When Mr. Greeley shall have been indorsed at Baltimore, how can the *Times* consistently support him? And, if the *Times* editor does not design to be governed by the action of the Baltimore Convention, and indorse its nominees, what right or business has he to dictate to Democrats? Is he so obtuse not to realize this fact? Does it not look like a diversion in favor of Mr. Grant, and treason to the men who have stood by the *Times* editor for years, built him up, and enriched him? The extraordinary course of the *Times* cannot be reconciled on any other hypothesis. Democrats have good reason to suspect the paper that has for its warmest admirers the office-holders and whippers-in of General Grant. Fellow-Democrats, let us spurn it in time.

W. H. MESENKOP,
Chairman Democratic Central Committee of Bureau County.

JOLIET, Ill., June 14.

To the Editor of The Chicago Tribune:

SIR: If it be true, as stated by your correspondent in to-day's TRIBUNE, "That in no event will the *Times* support Greeley," then, the sooner this matter is understood and acted upon by the Democracy of the Northwest, the better it will be for our cause. A friend from Chicago told me, a few days ago, that he had had a talk with the editor of the *Times*, and he (the editor) told him "That he supposed he would have to support Greeley and Brown if they were indorsed by the Baltimore Convention."

I know the editor of the *Times* very well, and know his disposition to rule or to ruin. Of course, he can take whatever course he pleases in the coming campaign; but I do not think he can either rule or ruin. It would be strange, indeed, if the editor of that paper would not, here and there, find an old Bourbon to write a letter indorsing its present course. Joliet is, as you know, the Democratic strong-hold of Illinois; yet I am confident that the *Times* could not control or induce one single Democrat to vote for Grant, or any other decoy-duck that the *Times* editor and Voorhees might get up. The Democrats are now ready and ready are for the Cincinnati ticket, and we shall send a solid delegation to our State Convention for the purpose of assisting Democrats from other parts of the State in selecting a delegation to Baltimore who will favor an indorsement of Greeley and Brown.

For the bold and manly course THE TRIBUNE has taken in exposing the corruption and imbecility of the Administration, you are entitled to the thanks of honest men of all parties.

The Chicago *Times* may talk about getting out an injunction to prevent THE TRIBUNE from supporting Greeley. An injunction to stop the *Times* will not be necessary; for if, after the Baltimore Convention, it refuses to support the action of that body, it will soon dry up and die for want of support, and all because its editor would rather "rule in Hell than serve in Heaven." DEMOCRAT.

ILLINOIS.

Calls for Liberal Republican County Conventions.

PEORIA COUNTY.

The State Liberal Republican Executive Committee having called a Convention to meet at Springfield on the 25th of June, to select Presidential Electors, and to nominate State officers—and having in the appointment of delegates fixed the number to which Peoria is entitled to at eight—for the purpose of selecting these, and for the organization of the Liberal Party in Peoria County, we, the undersigned, hereby invite all Republicans who believe with us that the well-being of the country demands a change in the Administration, and who favor the election of the Cincinnati nominees, to meet in Convention at the Court House, in Peoria, on Saturday, June 22, at 1 o'clock p. m., for the purposes above specified, and for the transaction of such other business as may come before the Convention:

H. J. Chase,	Valentine Jobst,
Albert Potthoff,	John R. Hartman,
J. Aetzian,	Rudolph Miller,
H. Blotel,	Henry Krieger,
John Bizbie,	Chas. Saupe,
Wm. Kellogg, Jr.,	O. Hagemann,
John W. Richmon,	Joel W. Clark,
W. H. Ballance,	Philip Zell,
N. C. Nason,	Paul Dancker,
W. H. Powell,	Carl Rummel,
Rudolph Eichenberger,	Chas. Qualman,
Thomas Crafty,	A. P. Bartlett,
F. Chase,	Theodore Dreffein,
Frank Cantelo,	Jacob Schwabacker,
F. W. Voight,	Henry Schwabacker,
Henry Rollmann,	Rudolph Miller,
H. B. Potter,	Pa. Fuchs,
E. D. Chase,	Valentine Gradinger,
Isaac M. Allen,	Peter Fuller,
S. H. Williams,	Frederic Klingel,
Wm. Miller, Gray st.	N. E. Worthington,
D. L. Buchanan,	Al. Gerdes,
Oscar Fuist,	Andrew Zimmerman,
Joseph Gillig,	Otto Braune,
Alfred K. Kerr,	J. W. Martin,
John C. Welting,	Chas. E. Gillig,
M. Dillavaschter,	Wm. Roemer,
H. M. Bohlander,	and others.
Karl Gullig,	

ROCK ISLAND COUNTY.

There will be a meeting of the Liberal Republicans of Rock Island County, at the Court House in the City of Rock Island, on Saturday, the 22d inst., at 2 o'clock p. m., for the purpose of appointing delegates to the State Republican Liberal Convention, to be held in the City of Springfield, Ill., on Wednesday, the 26th inst., and to transact any other business that may by the meeting be deemed necessary. All who have heretofore acted with the Republican party, and who are opposed to a continuance of the Grant Administration, are cordially and respectfully invited to attend.

ROCK ISLAND, June 17, 1872.

John A. Boyer,	Joseph Huyett,
E. Carter,	William Young,
H. H. Mayo,	Henry Nunn,
Geo. L. Quist,	James Johnston,
John Engler,	T. D. Robinson,
Julius Mosenfelder,	C. Trundale,
C. Tegler,	C. A. Besser,
August Hessing,	C. Cushman,
Joseph May,	Enoch Moore,
Louis May,	Wm. S. Thomas,
John Fisher,	Samuel Bowles,
Charles Ritter,	B. B. Jocelyn,
Jno. W. Gould,	Thomas Thornton,
A. W. Jamison,	R. F. Tarrop,
Geo. Stevens,	Michael Scullins,
William Kerns,	Henry Maggrad,
Clans Jasper,	Charles Aetz,
T. Vitzethum,	F. Altz,
O. P. Bowers,	Oliver Lester,
P. Osborn,	H. F. Sickies,
L. R. Withers,	Charles Reese,
John Pinello,	John T. Harding,
A. Blumquist,	Jacob Wirts,
J. Frederick Shaw,	L. Otto,
Wm. Calentzky,	F. Yeager,
	G. A. Stephens.

M'DONOUGH COUNTY.

We, the undersigned, Republicans of McDonough County, who are opposed to the personal pretentions and usurpations of U. S. Grant, and the attempt of his followers to cram down the party on to grounds unbridden by the founders and cherished leaders of the Republican party; and being in favor of a return to civil government and reform in the civil service, and in favor of a State Government, free from partisanship, and devoted to the true interests of the people of the State of Illinois, without regard to party affiliations, or the demands of demagogues, hereby unite in a call for a mass Convention of the Liberal Republicans of McDonough County, to be held in Campbell's Hall, in the City of Macomb, on the 20th day of June, A. D. 1872, for the purpose of appointing delegates to the State Congressional and Senatorial Conventions, and to organize for the approaching campaign.

[Signed by twenty-five Republicans.]

The Voice of a Farmer.

GENESEO, Henry County, Ill., June 13.

To the Editor of The Chicago Tribune:

It is with great pleasure, fervent hope, and the fullest confidence that the yeomanry of the Northwest are looking to THE CHICAGO TRIBUNE. As in the earlier days of the settlement of these broad prairies, it defended the rights and protected the interests of the farmer and producer, with a boldness and efficiency unparalleled in the history of journalism, so now, in the hour of our peril, we rejoice to welcome it again as our champion and defender against power in high places. The Office-Holders' Convention, recently in session at Philadelphia, determined to impose upon the country for another four years the misrule and selfish policy of a ring of illiterate military chieftans, led by General Grant; and, we are happy to be able to say the people are becoming thoroughly aroused, and will impatiently for the opportunity to defend their right to Self-Government at the ballot-box. Indeed, the yeomanry of the country have no other alternative than a change of men with whom to intrust their vast interests, and secure a safe return to their Constitutional rights.

We are happy to see it announced in your columns that a campaign paper for Henry County will soon be published, dedicated to the election of Greeley and Brown, and have no hesitation in saying the people are waiting eagerly to sustain it; and will be too glad to continue it, if it is made worthy of their support and confidence. FARMER.

The Democracy of Livingston County.

PONTIAC, Ill., June 6.

To the Editor of The Chicago Tribune:

SIR: Having been an every-day reader of your valuable paper ever since the Cincinnati Convention, and admiring the bold stand you have taken in this campaign, and thinking that perhaps a few words from Livingston County would help along the good cause, I send you the result of our Democratic caucus, held at Pontiac, on Saturday, June 15. The following resolution was unanimously adopted, which explains itself:

Resolved, That the delegates selected by this caucus to attend the County Convention will elect delegates to the Springfield Convention who will cast their votes and use their influence in the Springfield Convention to send delegates to the Baltimore Convention who will cast their votes and use every honorable means to secure the indorsement of the Cincinnati Platform and the nominees.

Every Democrat in this county, but two, will vote for Greeley and Brown, if indorsed at Baltimore. Some of them, to be sure, think the war is not over yet and the negro is still in slavery, and are urging strongly the nomination at Baltimore of a straight Democratic ticket; but they will be all right when we indorse Greeley and Brown at Baltimore, and indorsed Here they will be as sure as fate.

A DEMOCRAT FROM THE CRADLE.

—Plymouth Church, Cleveland, has sold its chapel and grounds to a medical college for $20,000. The congregation has made a good additional subscription of $40,000, and a new church will be built on the corner of Prospect and Cherry streets.

CROP REPORTS.

From the St. Louis Democrat, June 17.

ILLINOIS.

FARMINGTON, June 15.—Winter wheat in this locality about two-thirds plowed up. The balance not over half yield. Be ready to cut from the 5th to the 10th of July. Very little old wheat on hand.

NAPLES, June 15.—Winter wheat in this county (Scott) very poor. One-sixth plowed up. Balance fourth yield. Will cut about the 1st of July. No old wheat on hand.

WARSAW, June 15.—Winter wheat in this section very poor. Fully one-half plowed up. Balance half yield. Ripening slowly. Will be ready to cut July 10 to 25. Area of new fields small. Old wheat on hand small. No chinch bugs.

CUBA, Fulton Co., June 15.—Winter wheat in this section badly winter-killed. The dies are injuring many fields. Area sown large. One-fifth plowed up. Balance half yield. Will be ready to cut July 1st to 10th. Old wheat scarce.

BRIGHTON, June 15.—Winter wheat in this section very uneven. Average about half crop. Filling well. Ready to cut about the 25th. Chinch-bugs and army-worms destructive in some fields. But little old wheat on hand.

SHELBYVILLE, June 15.—There is one-third less acreage in wheat than last year. With favorable weather will have two-thirds as much per acre. Harvest will commence July 1. Chinch-bugs scarce.

CATLIN, June 15.—Winter wheat not as good acreage as last year's. About the same area as 1871. Will be ready to cut the 4th of July. Very little old wheat on hand.

ASSUMPTION.—Winter wheat in this and adjoining townships about half crop. Many fields ploughed up; balance half yield. Ready to cut last of this month. Some old wheat in hand.

OLNEY, June 15.—The crops continue to give evidence of the finest yield ever known in this vicinity.

DELAVAN, June 15.—Winter wheat in this and adjoining counties very poor. Fully one-half ploughed up; balance half yield. Ripening unevenly. Will do to cut July 5th to 15th. No old wheat on hand.

PLEASANT HILL, June 15.—Prospect of wheat in this county poor. One-fourth plowed up; balance half crop. Ready to cut June 30. A few chinch bugs.

MONMOUTH, June 15.—Winter wheat generally good. Will be ready to cut about July 4. No old wheat on hand.

MORRISONVILLE, June 15.—Winter wheat in this county aver

REPORTS.

...ois Democrat, June 17.

...LINOIS.

...ne 15.—Winter wheat in ... two-thirds plowed up. ...er half yield. Be ready ... to the 10th of July. Very ...heat.

...—Winter wheat in this ... poor. One sixth plowed ...th yield. Will cut about ...old wheat on hand.

...5.—Winter wheat in this ...dly winter-killed. The flies ...y fields. Area sown large. ...up. Balance half yield. ...cut July 1st to 10th. Old ...

...e 15.—Winter wheat in this ...yes. Average about half ...l. Ready to cut about the ...and army-worms destruc... But little old wheat on ...

...ne 15.—There is one-third ...eat than last year. With ...t will have two-thirds as ...arvest will commence July ...ance.

...5.—Winter wheat not as ...ast years. About the same ...e ready to cut the 4th of ...old wheat on hand.

...Winter wheat in this and ...ga about half crop. Many ... About four fields small. ...of this month. Some old ...

...—The crops continue to ...e finest yield ever known ...

...15.—Winter wheat in this ...atics very poor. Fully one-...p; balance half yield. ...Will do to cut July 5th ...heat on hand.

...ne, June 15.—Prospect of ...ounty poor. One-fourth ...heat crop. Ready to ...w chinch bugs are doing ...e 15.—Winter wheat gen... be ready to cut about ...heat on hand.

...—Winter wheat ...verages one-sixth plowed ...crop; area acreage two ... Injured by drouth and ...pring mowlery, and will be ... in July. Samples poor.

...e 15.—Winter wheat in this ...ities promises a large yield ... There is a larger breadth ...ual. Harvest has come ... wheat will be all harvested ...ne. Very little injury done ... old wheat on hand.

...ne 15.—Winter wheat in ...counties poor. Winter-...eat, prospects fair, but ...eported. Little or no ...

...o winter wheat sown in ...ountry.

...Winter wheat grown ...counties, one-fourth of ...eat good. Chinch bugs ...

...Winter wheat in this ...ies very poor; nearly ...spring wheat and all ...wheat—a very fine ...arge amount sown. No ...Will be ready to cut the ...

...—No winter wheat ...f. Spring wheat looks ...hed up. Will average fif... acres. Ripening slowly, ...rains. No chinch bugs to ...ver yet. Will be ...by the twenty-fifth. Small ...heat on hand.

...—Winter wheat in this ...ter wheat will be over an ...rea about half. Will ripen ...apidly, and will all be cut ... No old wheat on hand.

...ne 15.—Good average ...; some chinch bugs, but ...damage; general cutting ...enth. Some old wheat; not ...

...NTY, June 15.—There is a ...of fall wheat—it reads badly ...ter. There is more spring ...year than last. The spring ...s excellent. The chinch ...their appearance and have ...the wheat in some places.

...15.—No winter wheat raised ...of any account; none for ...ield. Spring sown this ... No chinch bugs or small ...

...ne 16.—Winter wheat in this ...ood and ripening rapidly, ...of the week. But little old ... Chinch bugs have hurt ... the other crops look fine ...e good. Never looked ... on the year. No. 1 old ...selling at $1.75 per bushel.

...N, June 15.—Winter wheat ...ort; from one-third to one-...balance about half a yield. ...ent from 1st to 15th of July.

...—Winter wheat in this ...pa about half crop. Many ... About four fields small. ...of this month. Some old ...

...—The crops continue to ...e finest yield ever known ...

...15.—Winter wheat in this ...atics very poor. Fully one-...p; balance half yield. ...Will do to cut July 5th ...heat on hand.

...ne, June 15.—Prospect of ...ounty poor. One-fourth ...heat crop. Ready to ...w chinch bugs are doing ...e 15.—Winter wheat gen... be ready to cut about ...heat on hand.

...—Winter wheat ...verages one-sixth plowed ...crop; area acreage two ... Injured by drouth and ...pring mowlery, and will be ... in July. Samples poor.

...e 15.—Winter wheat in this ...ities promises a large yield ... There is a larger breadth ...ual. Harvest has come ... wheat will be all harvested ...ne. Very little injury done ... old wheat on hand.

...5

CHRIST CHURCH.

The Cheney-Whitehouse Imbroglio Once More in Court.

Motion for a Temporary Injunction to Restrain Mr. Cheney from Continuing His Ministrations.

Also to Prevent the Wardens from Paying Him His Salary.

The Case Being Argued by Eminent Counsel Before Judge Williams.

About three weeks since Allen C. Calkins, James O. Cleveland, and Henry J. Jennison, pewowners in Christ Church, by petition, presented their bill in the Circuit Court asking an injunction to restrain the Rev. C. E. Cheney from officiating as a pretended minister, and the Vestrymen and Wardens of the Parish of Christ Church, from permitting the said Cheney to officiate as a pretended minister in the church edifice of said parish at Christ Church, and from using the property, revenue and income of said parish for the support of said Cheney, and restraining the said Cheney from receiving the said Wardens and Vestrymen and Treasurer from paying, or causing to be paid, to Cheney, any of the revenue or income of said parish, as well as to restrain Cheney from occupying the parsonage. As the parties needed some time to prepare for the argument of the cause, and as the Court (Chief Justice Williams), before which it came, by statute law, resided out of the county, the hearing of the motion to grant this injunction was laid over until yesterday morning, when the Court assembled in the Common Council Chamber. The defendants (excepting one who was absent in New York) were each of them present. They were represented by M. W. Fuller, Henry S Monroe, and G M. Thompson. The complainants were represented by ex-governor Joseph B. Corning Judd, Esq., of Lewistown, Mr. Fitzhugh Whitehouse, Chancellor of the Cathedral, assisting him during a portion of the proceeding.

It appeared that Rev. Mr. Cheney, Rector, and Mr. Mills, Treasurer, had demurred, while the other defendants had made a joint and several answer, under oath, excepting as to the absent defendant, whose absence was accounted for.

The question as to the order of the proceedings, Mr. M. W. Fuller stating that he had demurred generally to the bill, in behalf of Mr. Cheney and Mr. Mills, the Treasurer, which demurrer he asked should first be heard, to which Mr. Judd objected.

The Court (Williams). This is simply a question of the order of argument, and as to who has the affirmative. I understand that this is a motion to dissolve an injunction and you come in and file a demurrer and also an answer. Of course you have a right to be heard fully, but in the order of argument it seems to me that Mr. Judd has the affirmative.

Mr. Judd was about to proceed with the argument of the motion, when Mr. Jennison, one of Mr. Thompson suggested that perhaps he had better hear the answer.

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER.

Thereupon the answer of the several defendants (not including Mr. Cheney nor Mr. Mills) was read by Mr. Monroe. By the way, the answer it is set up that there are 213 pews in Christ Church, of which seventy-three are owned by purchase, and that many persons sit there other than the defendants who are members of the congregation, all of whom concur in the action of defendants, and support the employment of the Rev. Mr. Cheney as their pastor. It is stated that the church became incorporated in 1856, under the laws of the State of Illinois in regard to religious societies; wherefore, as to its temporal matters, it obeys the statute law, while it acknowledges in matters purely spiritual the constituted authorities of the Protestant Episcopal Church. Wherefore by the act of incorporation it was not under the law of the State in corporations and their successors to have and to hold property and to employ agents, even to the extent of procuring the services of a pastor. The congregation as a church organization of the Protestant Episcopal denomination, joined the Convention of the Diocese of Illinois in 1859, and by the acts of its members, the defendants could not, however, state, and continued in union and connection with the Convention as before described, to the present time, the Bishop nor the Convention having to this time intimated to the contrary, and the congregation, as their representatives having, to their knowledge, done ought to render them liable to suspension. They state that the church edifice has never yet been consecrated, but that its owners consist of a body of persons forming a body corporate and constituting a part and parcel of the Episcopate and diocese of the Convention of the state and of the General Convention of the United States, and, however, that so far as temporal matters, including the employment of persons, they are subject to the Canons of the Church as stated; that their rights to employ agents is governed and controlled only by State law. In other words, that the defendants are a civil corporation, having the right to manage its own temporal concerns, subject to no ecclesiastical body whatever. The answer admits the authority of the National and Diocesan Conventions to make ecclesiastical laws, and does not deny the orders of priesthood, but, it does deny that such subordination of presbyters as the bill alleges exists for it denies to the Bishop of this or any other diocese jurisdiction, as indexr ordinary, to pronounce sentence upon any presbyter, excepting upon a fair trial and conviction by his brother clergymen. As to the church property in controversy, it is stated that it was acquired without conditions annexed, wherefore it is held only subject to the act of incorporation under the laws of the State; that the church edifice itself was built under the ministry of Mr. Cheney, and as the same was when it was erected as a free-will offering to a well-loved pastor. It is stated that the Protestant Episcopal Church is divine into two schools, the Evangelical and the Sacramentarian, or the High and Low. To the Evangelical Christ Church belongs, and to which it belonged, Mr. Cheney was called to minister in it, he was well known to those who now complain of, but who did forpersecute him, and those who seek to depose him. It is stated that there has never been any deviation from the rights and doctrines of the Church as originally understood by the corporators, the constitution, and pastor. That Mr. Cheney was ever a worthy pastor is denied, having overrun with the proceedings of his supposed inferiors and the Bishop were void, both under the Canons of the Church and of the State, having also believed this, the defendants state that the faculty might, employ the Rev. C. E. Cheney, and Edward Mills, as part of their duty, to provide a parsonage, which agreement they propose to carry out, the employment of the pastor being approved by the majority of the pewholders, and of all voters of the congregation excepting 6 per cent, of which number 5 are absent from the city in carrying out their duty to the church, or they are infringing their ecclesiastical duties. It is denied that the congregation has been brought under Mr. Cheney's discipline; and as to the resolution of the Diocesan Convention set up in the bill, they say that they have no official notification of its legal passage, and, because of the manner of its publication, they suppose it has been abandoned as a resolution never to take effect.

As to Mr. Calkins, they say that, while he is a pew holder, he is not a supporter of the church, not a member of the congregation, having only during past months paid his assessments, and is now supposed, for the purpose of creating contention; this, too, although the congregation had offered to provide his entire rights. As to his co-complainant, Cleveland, it is said he is not a member of the congregation, although a pewowner. As to Mr. Jennison, it says that, in addition, that he has been, for two years, making a profit of about 9 per cent by leasing his pew, which is now in the occupancy of Lemuel Foster. As to all of these, it is said that they acquiesced in the proceedings of defendants.

ORDER OF PROCEEDINGS.

The question arose again as to the order of proceedings. Mr. Judd now moving only for injunction against the Rev. Mr. Cheney and Mr. Mills, who had, by their demurrer, admitted the allegations of the bill.

The Court decided that the demurrer should then be taken up.

ARGUMENT OF MR. MUNROE.

Mr. Henry S. Monroe opened the argument for the defendants. He said that the contest was not sought by them, but they were forced to come into court to defend their rights. It would appear from the allegations of the bill that a majority of the congregation and officers of the church rejoiced in his entire satisfaction, and it seemed hardly fair to attempt to mislead the Court in this respect. It appears that three persons, out of three hundredths of the church property, seek to control the rights and interests of the remaining two hundred and ninety-seven, authorized the proceeding as a Jesuitical act on a par with those which, in former years, disgraced the Church of Rome. The celebrations were asking more than any Court in the universe would grant unless compelled to by an inflexible rule of law. The defendants, however, were here to ask nothing outside of law and equity, and counsel proceeded to cite authorities to show that which they considered the allegations granted. There must be imminent danger to the pecuniary rights of complainants, and he claimed that no possible injury could be done to them by the refusal of the Court to grant that injunction, while, on the other hand, the injury and inconvenience would be great to the defendants unless the Court should grant their injunction. He would, indeed, like a darting judge who would assume the responsibility of such a step, and one of the most compulsory grounds, of sundering the relations between a loved pastor and congregation, and alleged as the ground of pretended that there existed any necessity for the injunction on account of apprehended waste or injury to property. Counsel, however, would not rise the motion took on principle and authority.

The Court here took a recess of one hour, and, on resuming, Mr. Monroe closed his argument by saying that the bill was fatally defective in that it does not show a single pecuniary reason for granting the injunction. If pointed to irreparable injury which would result by a refusal to grant the injunction. He claimed that Christ Church was a corporation, and duly organized under the laws of Illinois, clothed with full power, and subject to the law of the State, as we understood the law, and that, if there was not a provision in the statute changing the common law of corporations. Then it is claimed that the congregation, the pewholders, and the pastors are not, in legal language, mere trustees, but are officials and directors of a corporation, clothed with the power to manage its affairs, and are responsible to the wishes of a majority of the pewholders, as the officers and directors of a [illegible] that the defendants were acting in the wishes of the majority of the [illegible] avoided the case, as it was unanimous, and a separation on the note of the majority was binding on the minority. If this were not true, we should have confidential questions filling our courts of justice. There was no decision in this State, that the counsel was aware of, contrary to the rule laid down by the New York Courts, that in religious corporations the vote of the majority should be binding upon the minority, so far as are concerned the control of its property and revenues. The Wardens and Vestrymen would have no right to disregard the wishes of the majority with regard to the sustaining of Mr. Cheney in his ministrations. Indeed, if they should, do other wise and the church debt should be left unpaid, and the property thereby forfeited under the mortgage, the officers of the church could and should be held responsible for the pecuniary damages involved. Counsel said that Mr. Cheney's congregation opposed his removal because they regarded him as the subject of vindictive persecution by an imperious tyrant.

ARGUMENT OF MR. JUDD.

Mr. Judd, Chancellor of the Diocese, said that he should take totally different ground from that maintained by the counsel for the opposite side. The case was of the utmost importance, not only to the congregation and parish directly interested, but to the whole country. If the position taken by the gentleman on the other side were correct, then we might as well, first as last, give up as a failure and disorganization in the Church of God. In the language of another, "a Church without discipline must, sooner or later, become a Church without religion." If the properly constituted authorities were adjudged by the civil tribunals to be powerless to impose necessary discipline upon the religious bodies under their charge, then we may prepare to bid farewell to religion itself, because if church organization cannot control the doctrines taught by individual teachers may discard the most vital doctrines of the Church, and if he can control the majority of his congregation, he may carry away property donated by those in their graves, and subvert it to uses directly contrary to the purposes for which it was originally given. Such a doctrine was simply monstrous, and would not be sustained in any Court. On this principle a Minister of the Presbyterian Church, if, by his eloquence or magnetism, he can carry with him a majority of his congregation, may be or a Roman Catholic or a Mormon, and the Presbyterian Church is powerless to prevent its property from being used for purposes in violation of the intention of those who conferred it upon the Church. The parties complaining were interested as pew-holders and property-owners in the church, and in that respect they have a status in this Court. Further, they aver, and it stands admitted, that they have paid taxes during the present year, and that they have contributed to a fund which the Trustees are diverting in the payment of the salary of a man who has been deposed from the priesthood. The matter of this case was brought in the name of three complainants alone, it was on behalf of all those who hold to the doctrine and discipline of the Protestant Episcopal Church. He did not concede that, because but three of the six hundred pewowners were named in the bill of complaint, it followed that the remaining one hundred and ninety-seven were in full accord with Mr. Cheney's action. On the contrary, he believed it could be shown that a majority of the congregation were opposed to Mr. Cheney. He could have a hundred complainants in the bill if necessary. He did not merely represent three men, but the Episcopal Church of the Diocese of Illinois, and the Episcopal Church of the United States. He represented the Church throughout the whole world. He regretted that the words "intolerance" and "iron tyranny" had been used by gentlemen on the other side, and he was tempted to quote the couplet:

"No rogue e'er felt the halter draw
With good opinion of the law."

The plaintiffs had no intention upon the church indebtedness was not worthy of consideration. Could not the Wardens of Christ Church find, in all the city, a pulpit from which Mr. Cheney to preach the Gospel for them? Was there no other man who could save sinners in Christ Church? If such proceeded upon Mr. Cheney's ministrations, he claimed that the Bishop was void, both under the Canons of the Church and of the State, having also believed this, the defendants state that the faculty might, employ the Rev. C. E. Cheney, and Edward Mills, as part of their duty, to provide a parsonage, which agreement they propose to carry out, the employment of the pastor being approved by the majority of the pewholders, and of all voters of the congregation excepting 6 per cent, of which number 5 are absent from the city in carrying out their duty to the church, or they are infringing their ecclesiastical duties. It is denied that the congregation has been brought under Mr. Cheney's discipline; and as to the resolution of the Diocesan Convention set up in the bill, they say that they have no official notification of its legal passage, and, because of the manner of its publication, they suppose it has been abandoned as a resolution never to take effect.

As to Mr. Calkins, they say that, while he is a pew holder, he is not a supporter of the church, not a member of the congregation, having only during past months paid his assessments, and is now supposed, for the purpose of creating contention; this, too, although the congregation had offered to provide his entire rights. As to his co-complainant, Cleveland, it is said he is not a member of the congregation, although a pewowner. As to Mr. Jennison, it says that, in addition, that he has been, for two years, making a profit of about 9 per cent by leasing his pew, which is now in the occupancy of Lemuel Foster. As to all of these, it is said that they acquiesced in the proceedings of defendants.

ORDER OF PROCEEDINGS.

The question arose again as to the order of proceedings. Mr. Judd now moving only for injunction against the Rev. Mr. Cheney and Mr. Mills, who had, by their demurrer, admitted the allegations of the bill.

The Court decided that the demurrer should then be taken up.

ARGUMENT OF MR. MUNROE.

Mr. Henry S. Monroe opened the argument for the defendants. He said that the contest was not sought by them, but they were forced to come into court to defend their rights. It would appear from the allegations of the bill that a majority of the congregation and officers of the church rejoiced in his entire satisfaction, and it seemed hardly fair to attempt to mislead the Court in this respect. It appears that three persons, out of three hundredths of the church property, seek to control the rights and interests of the remaining two hundred and ninety-seven, authorized the proceeding as a Jesuitical act on a par with those which, in former years, disgraced the Church of Rome. The celebrations were asking more than any Court in the universe would grant unless compelled to by an inflexible rule of law. The defendants, however, were here to ask nothing outside of law and equity, and counsel proceeded to cite authorities to show that which they considered the allegations granted. There must be imminent danger to the pecuniary rights of complainants, and he claimed that no possible injury could be done to them by the refusal of the Court to grant that injunction. While, on the other hand, the injury and inconvenience would be great to the defendants unless the Court should grant their injunction. He would, indeed, like a darting judge who would assume the responsibility of such a step, and one of the most compulsory grounds, of sundering the relations between a loved pastor and congregation, and alleged as the ground of pretended that there existed any necessity for the injunction on account of apprehended waste or injury to property. Counsel, however, would not rise the motion took on principle and authority.

The Court here took a recess of one hour, and, on resuming, Mr. Monroe closed his argument by saying that the bill was fatally defective in that it does not show a single pecuniary reason for granting the injunction. If pointed to irreparable injury which would result by a refusal to grant the injunction. He claimed that Christ Church was a corporation, and duly organized under the laws of Illinois, clothed with full power, and subject to the law of the State, as we understood the law, and that, if there was not a provision in the statute changing the common law of corporations. Then it is claimed that the pewholders and